1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON TACOMA DIVISION	
8	BLANCA F FRANCO,	Civil No. 3:11-CV-05715-RJB-JRC
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER AMENDING THE SCHEDULING ORDER
10	VS.	SCHEDULING ORDER
11	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,	
12	Defendant.	
13	Based on Defendant's Motion to Amend the Scheduling Order, and that Plaintiff's	
	Based on Defendant's Motion to Amend	the Scheduling Order, and that Plaintiff's
14	Based on Defendant's Motion to Amend Counsel has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERI	-
		-
14 15 16	Counsel has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERI as follows:	-
14 15 16 17	Counsel has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERI as follows:	ED that the Scheduling Order shall be amended
14 15 16 17 18	Counsel has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERF as follows: Defendant shall have up to and including	ED that the Scheduling Order shall be amended March 2, 2012, to file Defendant's responsive
14 15 16 17 18	Counsel has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERF as follows: Defendant shall have up to and including brief;	ED that the Scheduling Order shall be amended March 2, 2012, to file Defendant's responsive March 16, 2012, to file a reply brief; and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Counsel has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERF as follows: Defendant shall have up to and including brief; Plaintiff shall have up to and including Management of the shall have up to an additional hard the shall have up to a shall have up to	ED that the Scheduling Order shall be amended March 2, 2012, to file Defendant's responsive March 16, 2012, to file a reply brief; and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Counsel has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERF as follows: Defendant shall have up to and including brief; Plaintiff shall have up to and including M Oral argument, if desired, shall be reques	ED that the Scheduling Order shall be amended March 2, 2012, to file Defendant's responsive March 16, 2012, to file a reply brief; and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Counsel has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERF as follows: Defendant shall have up to and including brief; Plaintiff shall have up to and including M Oral argument, if desired, shall be reques	ED that the Scheduling Order shall be amended March 2, 2012, to file Defendant's responsive March 16, 2012, to file a reply brief; and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Counsel has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERF as follows: Defendant shall have up to and including brief; Plaintiff shall have up to and including M Oral argument, if desired, shall be reques	ED that the Scheduling Order shall be amended March 2, 2012, to file Defendant's responsive March 16, 2012, to file a reply brief; and sted by March 23, 2012.